arXiv:math-ph/0206005v1 5 Jun 2002

# Stabilization for equations of one-dimensional viscous compressible heat-conducting media with nonmonotone equation of state

B. Ducomet [a] *and* A.A. Zlotnik [b]

[a] Département de Physique Théorique et Appliquée
CEA/DAM Ile de France
BP 12, F-91680 Bruyères-le-Châtel, FRANCE
*bernard.ducomet@cea.fr*

[b] Department of Mathematical Modelling
Moscow Power Engineering Institute
Krasnokazarmennaja 14, 111250 Moscow, RUSSIA
*zlotnik@apmsun.mpei.ac.ru*

**Abstract**

We consider the Navier-Stokes system describing motions of viscous compressible heat-conducting and "self-gravitating" media. We use the state function of the form $p(\eta,\theta) = p_0(\eta) + p_1(\eta)\theta$ linear with respect to the temperature $\theta$, but we admit rather general nonmonotone functions $p_0$ and $p_1$ of $\eta$, which allows us to treat various physical models of nuclear fluids (for which $p$ and $\eta$ are the pressure and specific volume) or thermoviscoelastic solids. For an associated initial-boundary value problem with "fixed-free" boundary conditions and possibly large data, we prove a collection of estimates independent of time interval for solutions, including two-sided bounds for $\eta$, together with its asymptotic behaviour as $t \to \infty$. Namely, we establish the stabilization pointwise and in $L^q$ for $\eta$, in $L^2$ for $\theta$, and in $L^q$ for $v$ (the velocity), for any $q \in [2,\infty)$.

## 1 Introduction

The problem of large-time behaviour of solutions to equations of a 1d-flow of viscous compressible heat-conducting fluids (or gases) with large data was studied in a lot of papers including [6] [17] [13] [19]. All these papers deal with the case of particular (polytropic gas) or general pressure law $p(\eta,\theta)$ but always monotone with respect to the variable $\eta$ (here $\eta$ and $\theta$ are the specific volume and the absolute temperature). It is well known that this monotonicity is not valid in a number of physical situations. In particular, the case of the two-term pressure

$$p(\eta,\theta) = p_0(\eta) + p_1(\eta)\theta, \tag{1}$$

which is linear in $\theta$ but with complicated nonmonotone $p_0(\eta)$ is of importance for nuclear fluid models, see [8] [9] and references therein.

The case of the two-term function (1) with other properties of $p_0$ and $p_1$, and nonmonotone $p_1$ is also interesting in a completely different physical context, namely for thermoviscoelastic solids (shape memory alloys), see [20] [12] [21] and references therein. In these papers, for models with essentially simplified forms of the viscosity term and heat flux in the equations, the stabilization of solutions was studied but for $\eta$ it was proved only in the case $p_0 = 0$.

We also mention papers concerning stabilization in nonmonotone barotropic case (where $p = p(\eta)$) for fluids [15] [25] [10] [11] and for viscoelastic solids [5] [18].

Notice that nonmonotonicity of $p$ complicates in an essential way the problem of stabilization. In particular, the stationary specific volume becomes nonunique and can be discontinuous.

In this paper, we consider the pressure law (1) with rather general nonmonotone $p_0$ and $p_1$ and we study both the cases of nuclear fluids and of thermoviscoelastic solids (without the aforementioned simplification in the viscosity term and the heat flux). Moreover a large external force of "self-gravitation" type is also taken into consideration. For an initial-boundary value problem with "fixed-free" boundary conditions and large initial data, we prove a collection estimates independent of time interval for solutions, including two-sided bounds for the specific volume $\eta$. Moreover we establish the pointwise and $L^q$-stabilization for $\eta$, $L^2$-stabilization for the temperature $\theta$ and the pressure $p$, and $L^q$-stabilization for the velocity for any $q \in [2, \infty)$, as time tends to infinity. In the nuclear fluid case, we also justify the sharpness of the main condition on the "self-gravitating" force.

## 2 Statement of the problem and main results

We consider the following system of quasilinear differential equations for 1d-motions of viscous compressible heat-conducting media

$$\begin{cases} \eta_t = v_x, \\ v_t = \sigma_x + g, \\ e[\eta, \theta]_t = \sigma v_x + \pi_x, \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

where $(x,t) \in Q \equiv \Omega \times \mathbf{R}^+ = (0, M) \times (0, +\infty)$ are the Lagrangian mass coordinates, with $M$ being the total mass of the medium.

The unknown quantities $\eta > 0$, $v$, and $\theta > 0$ are the specific volume, the velocity, and the absolute temperature. We also denote by $\rho = \frac{1}{\eta}$ the density, $\sigma = \nu\rho v_x - p[\eta, \theta]$ the stress, $e(\eta, \theta)$ the internal energy, and $-\pi = -\kappa[\eta, \theta]\rho\theta_x$ the heat flux.

In all the paper, the notation $\mu[\eta, \theta](x,t) = \mu(\eta(x,t), \theta(x,t))$, for $\mu = e, p, \kappa$, etc. is adopted.

In order to fix the state functions $p(\eta, \theta)$ and $e(\eta, \theta)$, we define the Helmholtz free energy

$$\Psi(\eta, \theta) = -c_V \theta \log \theta - P_0(\eta) - P_1(\eta)\, \theta,$$

where $c_V = const > 0$. Then thermodynamics tells us that

$$p(\eta, \theta) = -\Psi_\eta(\eta, \theta) = p_0(\eta) + p_1(\eta)\, \theta, \tag{3}$$

with $p_0 = P_0'$ and $p_1 = P_1'$, as well as

$$e(\eta, \theta) = \Psi(\eta, \theta) - \theta\Psi_\theta(\eta, \theta) = -P_0(\eta) + c_V\theta, \tag{4}$$

where $\Psi_\eta = \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \eta}$ and $\Psi_\theta = \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \theta}$.

First, we consider the more difficult case of the nuclear fluid. We suppose that the functions $p_0, p_1 \in C^1(\mathbf{R}^+)$ are such that [1]

$$\lim_{\eta \to 0^+} p_0(\eta) = +\infty, \quad \lim_{\eta \to \infty} p_0(\eta) = 0, \tag{5}$$

$$p_1(\eta) \geq 0, \quad \eta\, p_1(\eta) = O(1) \text{ as } \eta \to \infty. \tag{6}$$

Suppose also that the viscosity and heat conductivity coefficients are such that $\nu = const > 0$ and $\kappa \in C^1(\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+)$, with $0 < \underline{\kappa} \leq \kappa(\eta, \theta) \leq \overline{\kappa}$, where $\underline{\kappa}$ and $\overline{\kappa}$ are given constants.

Let the so-called "self-gravitation force" $g \in L^1(\Omega)$. In fact, this name does not correspond exactly to the physical situation, as, at least in the nuclear fluid case, the corresponding "physical" force is the Coulomb force between charged particles, which contrary to the Newton gravitational force, is attractive. Although the distinction Coulomb-Newton is of utmost importance in multidimensional problems, it is harmless in the 1d-context.

[1] Note that $C^1(\mathbf{R}^+)$ stands for the space of continuously differentiable functions on $\mathbf{R}^+$, but not necessarily bounded. The spaces $C^1(\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+)$, $C(\mathbf{R}^+)$, $C(\mathbf{R})$, etc. used below are understood similarly.

Let us supplement equations (2) with the following boundary and initial conditions

$$v|_{x=0} = 0,\ \ \sigma|_{x=M} = -p_\Gamma,\ \ \theta|_{x=0} = \theta_\Gamma,\ \ \pi|_{x=M} = 0, \tag{7}$$

$$\eta|_{t=0} = \eta^0(x), \quad v|_{t=0} = v^0(x), \quad \theta|_{t=0} = \theta^0(x), \tag{8}$$

with an outer pressure $p_\Gamma = const$ and a given temperature $\theta_\Gamma = const > 0$.

Throughout the paper, we use the classical Lebesgue spaces $L^q(G)$ together with their anisotropic version $L^{q,r}(Q)$, for $q, r \in [1, +\infty]$, and we denote the associated norm by $\|\cdot\|_{L^{q,r}(Q)} = \big\| \|\cdot\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \big\|_{L^r(\mathbf{R}^+)}$. In Section 2, we also use the abbreviate notation $\|\cdot\|_G$ for $\|\cdot\|_{L^2(G)}$.

Let also $V_2(Q)$ be the standard space of functions $w$ having finite (parabolic) energy $\|w\|_{V_2(Q)} = \|w\|_{L^{2,\infty}(Q)} + \|w_x\|_{L^2(Q)}$. We denote by $H^1(\Omega)$ (resp. $H^{2,1}(Q_T)$) the standard Sobolev space equipped with the norm $\|\phi\|_{H^1(\Omega)} = \|\phi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\phi_x\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ (resp. $\|w\|_{H^{2,1}(Q_T)} = \|w\|_{L^{2,\infty}(Q_T)} + \|w_x\|_{V_2(Q_T)} + \|w_t\|_{L^2(Q_T)}$). Hereafter $Q_T = \Omega \times (0, T)$.

In Section 2, we shall also exploit the integration operators $I^*\phi(x) = \int_x^M \phi(\xi)\, d\xi$, for $\phi \in L^1(\Omega)$, and $I_0 a(t) = \int_0^t a(\tau)\, d\tau$, for $a \in L^1(0, T)$.

Suppose that the initial data are such that $\eta^0 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ with ess $\inf_\Omega \eta^0 > 0$, $v^0 \in L^4(\Omega)$, $\theta^0 \in L^2(\Omega)$, $\log \theta^0 \in L^1(\Omega)$ with $\theta^0 > 0$.

Though it is possible to establish our main results for weak solutions [1], to simplify the presentation, we limit ourselves to the case of so-called regular weak solutions [6] such that $\eta \in L^\infty(Q_T)$, $\eta_x, \eta_t \in L^{2,\infty}(Q_T)$, $\min_{\overline{Q}_T} \eta > 0$, and $v, \theta \in H^{2,1}(Q_T)$, $\theta > 0$ in $Q_T$, for any $T > 0$. We consider the problem of existence of the latter solutions in Appendix.

Now we summarize our main results concerning problem (2), (7), (8), under conditions (5),(6).

Let us define the function

$$p_S(x) := p_\Gamma - \int_x^M g(\xi)\, d\xi, \quad \text{for } x \in \overline{\Omega},$$

which plays the role of a stationary pressure, and set $\underline{p}_S := \min_{\overline{\Omega}} p_S$ and $\overline{p}_S := \max_{\overline{\Omega}} p_S$. Obviously $\underline{p}_S \le p_\Gamma \le \overline{p}_S$.

Let $N > 1$ be an arbitrarily large parameter and $K_i = K_i(N)$ and $K^{(i)} = K^{(i)}(N)$, $i = 0, 1, 2, ...$, be positive nondecreasing functions of $N$, which can also depend on $M, \nu, \underline{\kappa}, \overline{\kappa}$, etc, but neither on the initial data nor on $g$.

**Theorem 1** *1. Suppose that the initial data, $p_\Gamma$, and $g$ are such that*

$$N^{-1} \le \eta^0 \le N, \quad \|v^0\|_{L^4(\Omega)} + \|\log \theta^0\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \|\theta^0\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \le N, \tag{9}$$

$$\|g\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \le N, \quad N^{-1} \le \underline{p}_S. \tag{10}$$

*Then the following estimates in $Q$ together with $L^2(\Omega)$-stabilization property hold*

$$0 < K_1^{-1} = \underline{\eta} \le \eta(x, t) \le \overline{\eta} = K_2 \quad \text{in } \overline{Q}, \tag{11}$$

$$\|v\|_{V_2(Q)} + \|v^2\|_{V_2(Q)} + \|\log \theta\|_{L^{1,\infty}(Q)} + \|(\log \theta)_x\|_{L^2(Q)} + \|\theta - \theta_\Gamma\|_{V_2(Q)} \le K_3, \tag{12}$$

$$\|p[\eta, \theta] - p_S\|_{L^2(Q)} \le K_4,$$

$$\|v^2(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|\theta(\cdot, t) - \theta_\Gamma\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|p[\eta, \theta](\cdot, t) - p_S(\cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \to 0 \quad \text{as } t \to \infty.$$

*2. Suppose that $p(\eta, \theta)$ satisfies the following additional condition:*

$$\text{For any } c \in [\underline{p}_S, \overline{p}_S], \text{ there exists no interval } (\eta_1, \eta_2) \text{ such that } p(\eta, \theta_\Gamma) \equiv c \text{ on } (\eta_1, \eta_2). \tag{13}$$

*Then the following pointwise stabilization property holds for $\eta$: there exists a function $\eta_S \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ satisfying*

$$p(\eta_S(x), \theta_\Gamma) = p_S(x) \quad \textit{and} \quad \underline{\eta} \le \eta_S(x) \le \overline{\eta} \ \textit{on} \ \overline{\Omega}, \tag{14}$$

*such that*

$$\eta(x,t) \to \eta_S(x) \quad \textit{as} \ t \to \infty, \quad \textit{for all} \ x \in \overline{\Omega}. \tag{15}$$

*and consequently $\|\eta(\cdot,t) - \eta_S(\cdot)\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$, for any $q \in [1,\infty)$.*

*3. Suppose that, additionally to the hypotheses of Claim 1, $\|v^0\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \le N$, for some $q \in (4,\infty)$. Then the following estimate in $Q$ together with $L^q(\Omega)$-stabilization property hold*

$$\|v\|_{L^{q,\infty}(Q)} + \|v\|_{L^{\infty,q}(Q)} \le K_5 q,$$

$$\|v(\cdot,t)\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \to 0 \quad \textit{as} \ t \to \infty,$$

*where $K_5$ does not depend on $q$.*

**Remarks:**

1. An elementary but important consequence of Claim 2 is that $V(t) := \int_\Omega \eta(x,t)\,dx \to V_S > 0$ as $t \to \infty$, where $V(t)$ is the volume of the fluid (or in other words, the Eulerian position of the free boundary).

2. For nonmonotone $p(\eta, \theta_\Gamma)$, if there exist two points $0 < \eta^{(1)} < \eta^{(2)}$ such that

$$\underline{p}_S < p^{(1)} := p(\eta^{(1)}, \theta_\Gamma) < p^{(2)} := p(\eta^{(2)}, \theta_\Gamma) < \overline{p}_S,$$

and such that, moreover

$$\begin{cases} p^{(1)} \le p(\eta, \theta_\Gamma), & \text{for } 0 < \eta \le \eta^{(1)}, \\ p^{(1)} \le p(\eta, \theta_\Gamma) \le p^{(2)}, & \text{for } \eta^{(1)} < \eta < \eta^{(2)}, \\ p(\eta, \theta_\Gamma) \le p^{(2)}, & \text{for } \eta^{(2)} \le \eta, \end{cases}$$

then, necessarily $\eta_S \notin C(\overline{\Omega})$. Moreover, consequently, the convergence in (15) cannot be uniform in $x$. In fact, even for $g \equiv 0$, if the equation $p(\eta, \theta_\Gamma) = p_\Gamma$ has more than one solution, then $\eta_S$ can be discontinuous in $\overline{\Omega}$. Namely, if this equation has exactly $k$ solutions $\eta^{(1)} < ... < \eta^{(k)}$, then the function $\eta_S$ can be written as

$$\eta_S = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \chi(E_j)\, \eta^{(j)},$$

where $E_j$, $1 \le j \le k$, are any measurable nonintersecting subsets of $\overline{\Omega}$ (some of them may be empty) such that $\bigcup_{j=1}^{k} E_j = \overline{\Omega}$, and $\chi(E_j)$ are their characteristic functions. Unfortunately, we cannot assert more about $\eta_S$.

Let us justify that the second condition (10) is essential in Theorem 1. Set $m(\theta_\Gamma) := \inf_{\eta>0} p(\eta, \theta_\Gamma)$. Obviously $m(\theta_\Gamma) \le 0$, and if $p_0 \ge -p_1\theta_\Gamma$, then $m(\theta_\Gamma) = 0$.

**Proposition 1** *Let the hypotheses of theorem 1, Claim 1, be valid, but suppose that $\underline{p}_S < m(\theta_\Gamma)$, instead of $N^{-1} \le \underline{p}_S$. Then*

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty} V(t) = \infty. \tag{16}$$

This property means that the upper bound for $\eta$ in (11) is violated and physically, that the fluid can asymptotically expand in the whole halfspace.

Let us also consider the borderline case $\underline{p}_S = m(\theta_\Gamma)$.

**Proposition 2** *Let the hypotheses of theorem 1, Claim 1, be valid and* $p(\eta, \theta_\Gamma) > m(\theta_\Gamma) = 0$, *but* $p_S(0) = 0$ *instead of* $N^{-1} \le p_S$. *Then at least one of the following properties holds:*

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty} \left| \int_\Omega v(x,t)\, dx \right| = \infty, \tag{17}$$

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \eta(0,t) = \infty. \tag{18}$$

*If in addition* $\int_1^\infty p(\eta, \theta_\Gamma)\, d\eta < \infty$ *and* $\underline{p}_S = p_S(0) = 0$, *then* $\|v\|_{L^{2,\infty}(Q)} \le K_3$, *but property (18) holds.*

Properties (17) and (18) mean that estimate (12) and the upper bound for $\eta$ in (11) are violated respectively.

Note that propositions 1 and 2 go back to results of [25] where the barotropic case was studied.

Finally, we consider the case of thermoviscoelastic solids. Let $\underline{p}_S \le \overline{p}_S$ be fixed. Suppose that, instead of (5) and (6), the following conditions hold

$$\overline{p}_S \le p_0(\eta) \text{ and } 0 \le p_1(\eta) \text{ for } 0 < \eta \le \check{\eta}, \tag{19}$$

$$p_0(\eta) \le \underline{p}_S \text{ and } p_1(\eta) \le 0 \text{ for } 0 < \hat{\eta} \le \eta, \tag{20}$$

for some $0 < \check{\eta} \le \hat{\eta} < \infty$. The conditions of such kind are of standard type for the thermoviscoelastic case.

**Theorem 2** *All the Claims 1-3 of theorem 1 remain valid under conditions (19) and (20), and without the condition* $N^{-1} \le \underline{p}_S$.

**Remark:**

We could consider the viscosity coefficient $\nu = \nu(\eta) \ge \nu_0 > 0$, $\nu \in C^1(\mathbf{R}^+)$ as well as body force and boundary data in the form $g(x,t) = g_S(x) + \Delta g(x,t)$, $p_\Gamma(t) = p_{\Gamma,S} + \Delta p_\Gamma(t)$, and $\theta_\Gamma(t) = \theta_{\Gamma,S} + \Delta\theta_\Gamma(t)$, with perturbations $\Delta g, \Delta p_\Gamma$, and $\Delta\theta_\Gamma$ tending to zero as $t \to \infty$ in some weak sense (compare with the barotropic case [25] [11]). To simplify the presentation of the results and their proof, we do not realize this possibility in the paper.

# 3 Proof of the results

We begin with the proof of theorem 1 which follows from a lengthy series of lemmas, providing necessary a priori estimates and stabilization properties: Claims 1, 2, and 3 will be proved respectively in lemmas 1-9, lemmas 10 and 11, and lemmas 12 and 13.

Then we proceed with the proofs of propositions 1 and 2 and theorem 2.

## 3.1 A priori estimates and proof of theorem 1

**Lemma 1** *The following energy estimates hold*

$$\|\eta\|_{L^{1,\infty}(Q)} + \|v\|_{L^{2,\infty}(Q)} + \|\theta\|_{L^{1,\infty}(Q)} + \|\log\theta\|_{L^{1,\infty}(Q)} \le K^{(1)}, \tag{21}$$

$$\|\sqrt{\frac{\rho}{\theta}} v_x\|_Q + \|\frac{\sqrt{\rho}}{\theta}\theta_x\|_Q \le K^{(2)}. \tag{22}$$

**Proof:** Equations (2) and (3), (4) imply the equations

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}v^2 + e[\eta,\theta]\right)_t = (\sigma v + \pi)_x + gv, \tag{23}$$

$$c_V \theta_t = \pi_x + (\nu\rho v_x - p_1[\eta]\theta)\, v_x. \tag{24}$$

Hereafter we use the notation $\mu[\eta](x,t) = \mu(\eta(x,t))$, for $\mu = p_i, P_i,\ i = 0,1$, etc.

By multiplying the second equation by $\frac{1}{\theta}$, we get

$$(c_V \log\theta + P_1[\eta])_t = \frac{1}{\theta}\pi_x + \nu\frac{\rho}{\theta}v_x^2.$$

By multiplying this relation by $\theta_\Gamma$, and subtracting from equation (23), we obtain

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}v^2 + e[\eta,\theta] - c_V\theta_\Gamma \log\frac{\theta}{\theta_\Gamma} - \theta_\Gamma P_1[\eta] + p_\Gamma\eta\right)_t + \theta_\Gamma\nu\frac{\rho}{\theta}v_x^2 = ((\sigma + p_\Gamma)v)_x + \left(1 - \frac{\theta_\Gamma}{\theta}\right)\pi_x + gv.$$

Setting $P(\eta,\theta) := P_0(\eta) + P_1(\eta)\,\theta$, integrating this equality over $\Omega$, and using the formula

$$\int_\Omega gv\,dx = \int_\Omega (I^*g)\,v_x\,dx = \frac{d}{dt}\int_\Omega (I^*g)\,\eta\,dx,$$

we finally get

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_\Omega \left[\frac{1}{2}v^2 + c_V\theta_\Gamma\left(\frac{\theta}{\theta_\Gamma} - \log\frac{\theta}{\theta_\Gamma}\right) + p_S\eta - P[\eta,\theta_\Gamma] + C\right]dx$$
$$+ \theta_\Gamma\int_\Omega \left(\nu\frac{\rho}{\theta}v_x^2 + \kappa[\eta,\theta]\frac{\rho}{\theta^2}\theta_x^2\right)dx = 0, \tag{25}$$

for any constant $C$.

Conditions (5) and (6) imply the property

$$P(\eta,\theta_\Gamma) \le \varepsilon\eta + C_\varepsilon \quad \text{on } \mathbf{R}^+,\ \forall\varepsilon > 0.$$

By integrating (25) over $(0,T)$ for any $T > 0$, applying conditions (9) and (10) and choosing $\varepsilon := \frac{1}{2}\underline{p}_S$, we obtain estimates (21) and (22). Here, the elementary inequality $\frac{1}{2}\alpha \le \alpha - \log\alpha + \log 2 - 1$ is taken into account. □

The following auxiliary result on ordinary differential inequalities is useful to prove lower and upper bounds for the specific volume $\eta$ in various situations.

**Lemma 2** *Let $N_0 \ge 0$, $N_1 \ge 0$, and $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ be three parameters.*

*Let $f \in C(\mathbf{R})$ and $y, b \in W^{1,1}(0,T)$, for any $T > 0$. The following claims are valid:*

*1. if*

$$\frac{dy}{dt} \ge f(y) + \frac{db}{dt} \text{ on } \mathbf{R}^+,$$

*where $f(-\infty) = +\infty$ and $b(t) - b(\tau) \ge -N_0 - N_1(t-\tau)$, for any $0 \le \tau \le t$, then the uniform lower bound holds:*

$$\min\{y(0), \check{z}\} - N_0 \le y(t) \text{ on } \overline{\mathbf{R}}^+,$$

*where the number $\check{z} = \check{z}(N_1)$ is such that $f(z) \ge N_1$, for $z \le \check{z}$;*

*2. if*

$$\frac{dy}{dt} \le f(y) + \frac{db}{dt} \text{ on } \mathbf{R}^+,$$

*where $\limsup_{z\to+\infty} f(z) \le 0$, and $b(t) - b(\tau) \le N_0 - \varepsilon_0(t-\tau)$, for any $0 \le \tau \le t$, then the uniform upper bound holds:*

$$y(t) \le \max\{y(0), \hat{z}\} + N_0 \text{ on } \overline{\mathbf{R}}^+,$$

*where the number $\hat{z} = \hat{z}(\varepsilon_0)$ is such that $f(z) \le \varepsilon_0$, for $z \ge \hat{z}$.*

**Remark:**

In lemma 2, one can drop the conditions $f(-\infty) = +\infty$ and $\limsup_{z\to+\infty} f(z) \le 0$, take $f \in C(\mathbf{R}\times\mathbf{R}^+)$ and replace $f(y)$ by $f(y,t)$. Then Claim 1 remains valid if, for a fixed $N_1$, there exists $\check{z}$ such that $f(z,t) \ge N_1$, for $z \le \check{z}$ and $t \ge 0$. Similarly, Claim 2 remains valid if, for a fixed $\varepsilon_0 \ge 0$, there exists $\hat{z}$ such that $f(z,t) \le \varepsilon_0$, for $z \ge \hat{z}$ and $t \ge 0$.

Lemma 2 is borrowed from [24], where in both claims, differential equalities are used, but one checks easily that the proof remains valid for inequalities; the similar conclusion is valid concerning the above remark. The statements of the type specified in this remark are well known in viscoelastic and thermo-viscoelastic contexts.

**Lemma 3** *For $\eta$, the uniform lower bound holds*

$$0 < \underline{\eta} = \left(K^{(3)}\right)^{-1} \le \eta(x,t) \ \text{in } \overline{Q}.$$

**Proof:** The action of the operator $I^*$ on the second equation (2) gives the equation

$$I^* v_t = -\nu\rho v_x + p[\eta,\theta] - p_S, \tag{26}$$

which together with the relation $\rho v_x = (\log\eta)_t$ lead to the another important equation

$$(\nu\log\eta)_t = p[\eta,\theta] - p_S - I^* v_t. \tag{27}$$

By putting $y := \nu\log\eta$, exploiting the property $p_1[\eta]\theta \ge 0$, and fixing any $x \in \overline{\Omega}$, we get

$$\frac{dy}{dt} \ge p_0\left(\exp(\frac{y}{\nu})\right) - \overline{p}_S - \frac{d}{dt} I^* v.$$

The function $f(z) := p_0\left(\exp(\frac{z}{\nu})\right) - \overline{p}_S$ satisfies the property $f(-\infty) = +\infty$ (see (5)). Moreover, due to the energy estimate (21)

$$\left| I^* v|_\tau^t \right| \le 2 \sup_{\overline{Q}} |I^* v| \le 2M^{1/2}\|v\|_{L^{2,\infty}(Q)} \le K_0. \tag{28}$$

Now Claim 1 in lemma 2 (with $N_1 = 0$) implies the estimate

$$\min\{\nu\log\eta^0(x), \nu\log\check{\eta}\} - K_0 \le y(x,t),$$

with a number $\check{\eta}$ such that $p_0(\eta) - \overline{p}_S \ge 0$, for any $0 < \eta \le \check{\eta}$. Then:

$$\underline{\eta} := \min\{N^{-1}, \check{\eta}\}\ \exp(-\frac{K_0}{\nu}) \le \eta(x,t) \text{ in } \overline{Q}. \quad \square$$

The next auxiliary result on ordinary integral inequality is useful to deduce a uniform upper bound for $\eta$.

**Lemma 4** *Let $b$ be a nondecreasing function on $[0,T]$ with $b(0) \ge 0$, and let $a \in L^1(0,T)$ be a nonnegative function. If $z \in L^\infty(0,T)$, $z \ge 0$ satisfies*

$$z(t) \le b(t) + \int_0^t a(\tau) z(\tau)\, d\tau \ \text{ on } (0,T),$$

*then the upper bound holds:*

$$z(t) \le b(t)\exp\left(\int_0^t a(\tau)\, d\tau\right) \le b(t)\ \exp\left(\|a\|_{L^1(0,T)}\right) \ \text{ on } (0,T).$$

The result follows immediately from the integral Gronwall's lemma (for example see [6]) if one takes into account that

$$z(s) \le b(t) + \int_0^s a(\tau) z(\tau)\, d\tau, \text{ for } 0 < s \le t < T.$$

**Lemma 5** *For $\eta$, the uniform upper bound holds*

$$\eta(x,t) \le \overline{\eta} = K^{(4)} \text{ in } \overline{Q}.$$

**Proof:** Let us rewrite the first equation (2) as follows

$$\eta_t = \frac{1}{\nu}(\sigma + \delta)\ \eta + \frac{1}{\nu}\eta\left(p[\eta,\theta] - \delta\right),$$

where $\delta$ is a parameter. We consider this equation as an ordinary differential equation with respect to $\eta$ and obtain the formula

$$\eta = \exp\left(\frac{1}{\nu}\ I_0(\sigma+\delta)\right)\left\{\eta^0 + \frac{1}{\nu} I_0\left[\exp\left(-\frac{1}{\nu}\ I_0(\sigma+\delta)\right)\eta\left(p[\eta,\theta]-\delta\right)\right]\right\}. \tag{29}$$

By applying the operator $I_0$ to equation (26), we find

$$I_0\sigma = -p_S t - I^*(v - v^0).$$

So by choosing $\delta := \frac{1}{2}\underline{p}_S$ and using estimate (28), we get

$$\frac{1}{\nu}\ I_0(\sigma+\delta)|_\tau^t = -\frac{1}{\nu}\ (p_S - \delta)(t-\tau) - \frac{1}{\nu}\ I^* v|_\tau^t \le -\alpha(t-\tau) + K_1 \text{ on } \overline{\Omega}, \text{ for all } 0 \le \tau \le t$$

with $\alpha := \frac{1}{2\nu}\underline{p}_S > 0$. Conditions (5) and (6) on $p_0$ and $p_1$ together with the lower bound $\underline{\eta} \le \eta$ give

$$\eta\left(p[\eta,\theta] - \delta\right) \le \eta\ \max\{p_0[\eta] - \delta, 0\} + \eta p_1[\eta]\theta \le K_2 + K_3\theta.$$

Therefore formula (29) implies the estimate

$$\hat{\eta}(t) := \|\eta(\cdot,t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \le K_4 e^{-\alpha t}\left[1 + \int_0^t e^{\alpha\tau}\left(1 + \|\theta(\cdot,\tau)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}\right)\, d\tau\right]. \tag{30}$$

Set $a := \left\|\frac{\sqrt{\rho}}{\theta}\theta_x\right\|_\Omega^2$. It is well known [6] [2] that the inequalities

$$\|\theta\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \le \frac{1}{M}\|\theta\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \|\theta_x\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \le \frac{1}{M}\|\theta\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \left(a\|\theta\|_{L^1(\Omega)}\|\theta\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}\hat{\eta}\right)^{1/2}$$

$$\le \varepsilon\|\theta\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \frac{1}{M}\|\theta\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon}a\|\theta\|_{L^1(\Omega)}\hat{\eta},\ \forall \varepsilon > 0$$

together with the estimate $\|\theta\|_{L^{1,\infty}(Q)} \le K^{(1)}$ imply

$$\|\theta\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \le K_5\left(1 + a\hat{\eta}\right).$$

So by using estimate (30), the function $z(t) := e^{\alpha t}\hat{\eta}(t)$ satisfies

$$z(t) \le K_6\left(e^{\alpha t} + \int_0^t a(\tau) z(\tau)\, d\tau\right) \text{ on } \mathbf{R}^+.$$

As $\|a\|_{L^1(\mathbf{R}^+)} \le (K^{(2)})^2$ according to lemma 1, by using lemma 4

$$z(t) \le K_6\ \exp\left(\alpha t + K_6(K^{(2)})^2\right) = K_7 e^{\alpha t} \text{ on } \mathbf{R}^+.$$

This means that $\eta \le \hat{\eta} \le \overline{\eta} := K_7$ in $\overline{Q}$. □

**Corollary 1** *For $v$, the following estimate holds*

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{M}}\|v\|_Q \le \|v\|_{L^{\infty,2}(Q)} \le (K^{(1)})^{1/2}\left\|\frac{v_x}{\sqrt{\theta}}\right\|_Q \le K^{(5)}.$$

**Proof:** In fact, by using lemma 1, we have

$$\|v\|_{C(\overline{\Omega})} \le \|v_x\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \le \|\theta\|^{1/2}_{L^1(\Omega)}\left\|\frac{v_x}{\sqrt{\theta}}\right\|_\Omega \le (K^{(1)})^{1/2}\left\|\frac{v_x}{\sqrt{\theta}}\right\|_\Omega, \tag{31}$$

and

$$\left\|\frac{v_x}{\sqrt{\theta}}\right\|_Q \le \overline{\eta}^{1/2}\left\|\sqrt{\frac{\rho}{\theta}}v_x\right\|_Q \le \overline{\eta}^{1/2}K^{(2)}. \quad \square$$

Note that similarly $\|(\log\theta)_x\|_Q \le \overline{\eta}^{1/2}K^{(2)}$.

The following auxiliary result on ordinary differential inequalities will be exploited when proving $V_2(Q)$-estimates and $L^2(\Omega)$-stabilization for $v^2$ and $\theta - \theta_\Gamma$.

**Lemma 6** *Let $a_0 = const > 0$ and $a, h \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^+)$. If a function $y \ge 0$ on $\mathbf{R}^+$ satisfies $y \in W^{1,1}(0,T)$ for any $T > 0$ and*

$$\frac{dy}{dt} + (a_0 + a)y \le h \quad \text{on } \mathbf{R}^+, \tag{32}$$

*then the following upper bound together with stabilization property hold:*

$$y(t) \le \left(y(0) + \|h\|_{L^1(\mathbf{R}^+)}\right) \quad exp\left(\|a\|_{L^1(\mathbf{R}^+)}\right) \quad \text{on } \mathbf{R}^+, \tag{33}$$

$$y(t) \to 0 \text{ as } t \to \infty.$$

It is easy to derive this simple known result by multiplying (32) by $\exp I_0(a_0 + a)$ and integrating the result; of course estimate (33) holds also for $a_0 = 0$. Note that more general result can be found in [22], lemma 2.1.

**Lemma 7** *For $v^2$ and $\theta - \theta_\Gamma$, the following estimate with the stabilization property hold*

$$\|v^2\|_{V_2(Q)} + \|\theta - \theta_\Gamma\|_{V_2(Q)} \le K^{(6)},$$

$$\|v^2(\cdot,t)\|_\Omega + \|\theta(\cdot,t) - \theta_\Gamma\|_\Omega \to 0 \quad \text{as } t \to \infty. \tag{34}$$

**Proof:** By rewriting equation (23) as follows

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}v^2 + c_V(\theta - \theta_\Gamma)\right)_t = (\sigma v + \pi)_x + p_0[\eta]v_x + gv$$

and taking $L^2(\Omega)$-inner product with $\frac{1}{2}v^2 + c_V(\theta - \theta_\Gamma)$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_\Omega \left(\frac{1}{2}v^2 + c_V(\theta - \theta_\Gamma)\right)^2 dx + \int_\Omega \left[(\nu\rho v_x - p[\eta,\theta])v + \kappa[\eta,\theta]\rho\theta_x\right](vv_x + c_V\theta_x)\, dx$$

$$= \int_\Omega (p_0[\eta]v_x + gv)\left(\frac{1}{2}v^2 + c_V(\theta - \theta_\Gamma)\right) dx - p_\Gamma\left(v\left(\frac{1}{2}v^2 + c_V(\theta - \theta_\Gamma)\right)\right)\Big|_{x=M}. \tag{35}$$

We also take $L^2(\Omega)$-inner product of the second equation (2) with $v^3$ :

$$\frac{1}{4}\frac{d}{dt}\int_\Omega v^4\,dx + 3\int_\Omega \left(\nu\rho v_x - p[\eta,\theta]\right)\, v^2 v_x\,dx$$

$$= \int_\Omega g v^3\,dx - p_\Gamma\; v^3\big|_{x=M}\,.$$

By summing up equality (35) and the latter one multiplied by a parameter $\delta \geq 1$, we get

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_\Omega \left[\left(\frac{1}{2}v^2 + c_V(\theta-\theta_\Gamma)\right)^2 + \frac{\delta}{2}v^4\right]\,dx + \int_\Omega \left[(1+3\delta)\nu\rho v^2 v_x^2 + c_V\kappa[\eta,\theta]\rho\theta_x^2\right]\,dx$$

$$= -\int_\Omega \left(\nu c_V + \kappa[\eta,\theta]\right)\,\rho v v_x\theta_x\,dx$$

$$+ \int_\Omega \left[p_0[\eta]v_x\left(\frac{1}{2}v^2 + c_V(\theta-\theta_\Gamma)\right) + p[\eta,\theta]\left((1+3\delta)v^2 v_x + c_V v\theta_x\right)\right]\,dx$$

$$+ \int_\Omega g v\left((\frac{1}{2}+\delta)v^2 + c_V(\theta-\theta_\Gamma)\right)\,dx - p_\Gamma\left(v\left((\frac{1}{2}+\delta)v^2 + c_V(\theta-\theta_\Gamma)\right)\right)\Big|_{x=M}$$

$$=: I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4.$$

Let us estimate the summands in the last equality. First, by using the two-sided bounds $\underline{\eta} \leq \eta \leq \overline{\eta}$ and $\underline{\kappa} \leq \kappa \leq \overline{\kappa}$, we deduce

$$K_1^{-1}\left(\delta\|vv_x\|_\Omega^2 + \|\theta_x\|_\Omega^2\right) \leq \int_\Omega \left[(1+3\delta)\nu\rho v^2 v_x^2 + c_V\kappa[\eta,\theta]\rho\theta_x^2\right]\,dx,$$

and

$$|I_1| \leq K_2\|vv_x\|_\Omega\|\theta_x\|_\Omega \leq \frac{K_2^2}{4\varepsilon}\|vv_x\|_\Omega^2 + \varepsilon\|\theta_x\|_\Omega^2,\ \forall\varepsilon>0.$$

Second, by using the estimates $|p_0[\eta]| \leq K_3$ and

$$|p[\eta,\theta]| = |p[\eta,\theta_\Gamma] + p_1[\eta](\theta-\theta_\Gamma)| \leq K_4\left(1+|\theta-\theta_\Gamma|\right),$$

we have

$$|I_2| \leq K_5\left[\int_\Omega \left(\delta v^2|v_x| + |v\theta_x|\right)\,dx + \int_\Omega |\theta-\theta_\Gamma|\left(|v_x| + \delta v^2|v_x| + |v\theta_x|\right)\,dx\right] =: K_5(I_{21}+I_{22}).$$

Furthermore the following estimates hold, for any $\varepsilon>0$:

$$I_{21} \leq \delta\|vv_x\|_\Omega\|v\|_\Omega + \|v\|_\Omega\|\theta_x\|_\Omega \leq \varepsilon\left(\delta\|vv_x\|_\Omega^2 + \|\theta_x\|_\Omega^2\right) + \frac{\delta+1}{4\varepsilon}\|v\|_\Omega^2,$$

and

$$I_{22} \leq \|\theta-\theta_\Gamma\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}\left\|\frac{v_x}{\sqrt{\theta}}\right\|_\Omega \|\theta\|_{L^1(\Omega)}^{1/2} + \|\theta-\theta_\Gamma\|_\Omega\|v\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}\left(\delta\|vv_x\|_\Omega + \|\theta_x\|_\Omega\right)$$

$$\leq \varepsilon\left(\frac{\delta}{2}\|vv_x\|_\Omega^2 + \|\theta_x\|_\Omega^2\right) + \frac{MK^{(1)}}{2\varepsilon}\left\|\frac{v_x}{\sqrt{\theta}}\right\|_\Omega^2 + \frac{\delta}{\varepsilon}\|v\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^2\|\theta-\theta_\Gamma\|_\Omega^2.$$

Third, we obtain

$$|I_3| + |I_4| \leq \left(\|g\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + p_\Gamma\right)\,\|v\|_{C(\overline{\Omega})}M^{1/2}\|(1+2\delta)vv_x + c_V\theta_x\|_\Omega$$

$$\leq \varepsilon\left(\delta\|vv_x\|_\Omega^2 + \|\theta_x\|_\Omega^2\right) + \frac{K_6\delta}{\varepsilon}\|v\|_{C(\overline{\Omega})}^2,$$

where all the above quantities $K_i$,$1 \le i \le 6$, do not depend on $\delta$ and $\varepsilon$.

Now, by choosing $\varepsilon := K_7^{-1}$ small enough and then $\delta := K_8\varepsilon^{-1}$ large enough, and setting

$$y := \int_\Omega \left[ \left( \frac{1}{2}v^2 + c_V(\theta - \theta_\Gamma) \right)^2 + \frac{\delta}{2}v^4 \right] dx,$$

we get

$$\frac{dy}{dt} + K_9^{-1} \left( \|vv_x\|_\Omega^2 + \|\theta_x\|_\Omega^2 \right) \le K_{10}(ay + h), \tag{36}$$

with $a := \|v\|^2_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$ and $h := \left\| \frac{v_x}{\sqrt{\theta}} \right\|_\Omega^2$ (see (31)); moreover

$$K_{11}^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{2}\|v^2\|_\Omega^2 + \|\theta - \theta_\Gamma\|_\Omega^2 \right) \le y \le K_{11} \left( \frac{1}{2}\|v^2\|_\Omega^2 + \|\theta - \theta_\Gamma\|_\Omega^2 \right).$$

It is clear that

$$\frac{dy}{dt} + K_{12}^{-1} y \le K_{10}(ay + h),$$

with $K_{12} := K_9 K_{11} M^2$. By corollary 1 we have $\|a\|_{L^1(\mathbf{R}^+)} \le K^{(1)}\|h\|_{L^1(\mathbf{R}^+)} \le \left(K^{(5)}\right)^2$, so lemma 6 implies

$$y(t) \le K_{13} \text{ on } \overline{\mathbf{R}}^+, \text{ and } y(t) \to 0 \text{ as } t \to \infty.$$

By integrating inequality (36) over $\mathbf{R}^+$, we also obtain

$$K_9^{-1} \left( \|vv_x\|_Q^2 + \|\theta_x\|_Q^2 \right) \le y(0) + K_{10} \left( \|a\|_{L^1(\mathbf{R}^+)} \sup_{\overline{\mathbf{R}}^+} y + \|h\|_{L^1(\mathbf{R}^+)} \right),$$

so that $\|vv_x\|_Q + \|\theta_x\|_Q \le K_{14}$, and the lemma is proved. □

Let us now estimate $v_x$ in $L^2(Q)$.

**Lemma 8** *The following estimate holds*

$$\|v_x\|_Q \le K^{(7)}.$$

**Proof:** By taking $L^2(\Omega)$-inner product of the second equation (2) with $v$, we get the equality (compare with (25))

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_\Omega \left( \frac{1}{2}v^2 + p_S\eta - P[\eta, \theta_\Gamma] \right) dx + \int_\Omega \nu\rho v_x^2 \, dx = \int_\Omega p_1[\eta](\theta - \theta_\Gamma)\, v_x \, dx.$$

By integrating it over $(0, T)$ and exploiting the bounds $\underline{\eta} \le \eta \le \overline{\eta}$, we get

$$\|v_x\|^2_{Q_T} \le K_1 \left( 1 + \|\theta - \theta_\Gamma\|_{Q_T} \|v_x\|_{Q_T} \right).$$

So $\|v_x\|_{Q_T} \le K_1^{1/2} + K_1\|\theta - \theta_\Gamma\|_{Q_T} \le K_1^{1/2} + K_1 M \|\theta_x\|_{Q_T}$, for any $T > 0$, and the result follows from the previous lemma. □

Now we prove additional properties of $p[\eta, \theta] - p_S$.

**Lemma 9** *For $p[\eta, \theta] - p_S$, the following estimate together with stabilization property hold*

$$\|p[\eta, \theta] - p_S\|_Q \le K^{(8)}, \tag{37}$$

$$\|p[\eta, \theta](\cdot, t) - p_S(\cdot)\|_\Omega \to 0 \text{ as } t \to \infty. \tag{38}$$

**Proof:**

1. Equation (26) implies the following equality, for any $T > 0$

$$\|p[\eta,\theta] - p_S\|^2_{Q_T} + \|I^* v_t\|^2_{Q_T} = \|\nu\rho v_x\|^2_{Q_T} + 2\int_{Q_T} (p[\eta,\theta] - p_S)\ I^* v_t\, dx\, dt.$$

Elementary transformations and the bounds $\underline{\eta} \le \eta \le \overline{\eta}$ give

$$\int_{Q_T} (p[\eta,\theta] - p_S)\ I^* v_t\, dx\, dt = \int_{Q_T} (p[\eta,\theta_\Gamma] - p_S)\ I^* v_t\, dx\, dt + \int_{Q_T} p_1[\eta](\theta - \theta_\Gamma)\, I^* v_t\, dx\, dt$$

$$= \int_\Omega (p[\eta,\theta_\Gamma] - p_S)\ I^* v\, dx\Big|_0^T - \int_{Q_T} p_\eta[\eta,\theta_\Gamma]\ \eta_t\, I^* v\, dx\, dt + \int_{Q_T} p_1[\eta](\theta - \theta_\Gamma)\, I^* v_t\, dx\, dt$$

$$\le K_1 \left( \|v(\cdot,T)\|_\Omega + \|v^0\|_\Omega + \|v_x\|_{Q_T}\|v\|_{Q_T} + \|\theta - \theta_\Gamma\|_{Q_T}\ \|I^* v_t\|_{Q_T} \right).$$

Therefore

$$\|p[\eta,\theta] - p_S\|^2_{Q_T} + \frac{1}{2}\|I^* v_t\|^2_{Q_T} \le \nu\underline{\eta}^{-2}\|v_x\|^2_{Q_T} + K_1\left(\|v(\cdot,T)\|_\Omega + N + M\|v_x\|^2_{Q_T}\right) + (K_1 M)^2\|\theta_x\|^2_{Q_T},$$

so estimate (37) follows from lemmas 1, 7, and 8.

2. First, instead of property (38), let us prove that

$$\|p[\eta,\theta_\Gamma](\cdot,t) - p_S(\cdot)\|_\Omega \to 0 \text{ as } t \to \infty. \tag{39}$$

By using the estimates $\underline{\eta} \le \eta$, (37), and $\|\theta_x\|_Q \le K^{(6)}$, we have

$$\|p[\eta,\theta_\Gamma] - p_S\|_Q \le \|p[\eta,\theta] - p_S\|_Q + \|p_1[\eta]\|_{L^\infty(Q)}\|\theta - \theta_\Gamma\|_Q \le K_2. \tag{40}$$

Then also

$$\int_0^\infty \left| \frac{d}{dt}\left(\|p[\eta,\theta_\Gamma] - p_S\|^2_\Omega\right)\right| dt = 2\int_0^\infty \left| \int_\Omega p_\eta[\eta,\theta_\Gamma]\eta_t(p[\eta,\theta_\Gamma] - p_S)\, dx\right| dt$$

$$\le 2\|p_\eta[\eta,\theta_\Gamma]\|_{L^\infty(Q)}\|v_x\|_Q\|p[\eta,\theta_\Gamma] - p_S\|_Q \le K_3. \tag{41}$$

Estimates (40) and (41) imply property (39).

But by the bounds $\underline{\eta} \le \eta \le \overline{\eta}$ the stabilization property (34) we get

$$\left|\|p[\eta,\theta] - p_S\|^2_\Omega - \|p[\eta,\theta_\Gamma] - p_S\|^2_\Omega\right|$$

$$\le \left[2M^{1/2}\left(\|p[\eta,\theta_\Gamma]\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \overline{p}_S\right) + \|p_1[\eta]\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}\ \|\theta - \theta_\Gamma\|_\Omega\right]\ \|p_1[\eta]\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}\|\theta - \theta_\Gamma\|_\Omega$$

$$\le K_4(1 + \|\theta - \theta_\Gamma\|_\Omega)\|\theta - \theta_\Gamma\|_\Omega \to 0 \text{ as } t \to \infty,$$

so that (39) implies (38). □

To establish the pointwise convergence of the specific volume $\eta(x,t)$ as $t \to \infty$, we need a modification of the Ball-Pego lemma [18] concerning "almost autonomous" ordinary differential equations.

**Lemma 10** *Let $f \in C(\mathbf{R})$ be such that, for a given constant $f_S$, there exists no interval $(z_1, z_2)$ such that $f(z) \equiv f_S$ on $(z_1, z_2)$. Let also $\alpha, \beta \in C(\mathbf{R}^+)$ be two functions such that $\alpha(t) \to 0$ and $\beta(t) \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$, as well as $a \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^+)$.*

*If a function $y$ satisfies $\sup_{\mathbf{R}^+} |y(t)| < \infty$, $y \in W^{1,1}(0,T)$ for all $T > 0$, and*

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = f(y + \alpha) - f_S + a + \beta \quad \text{on } \mathbf{R}^+,$$

*then*

$$y(t) \to y_S \quad \text{as } t \to \infty, \text{ and } f(y_S) = f_S.$$

*The result remains valid if one sets $\beta = 0$ and replaces the condition $a \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^+)$ by the following ones*

$$|a| \le |a_1| + |\beta_1|, \quad a, a_1, \beta_1 \in C(\mathbf{R}^+), \quad a_1 \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^+), \quad \text{and } \beta_1(t) \to 0 \quad \text{as } t \to \infty.$$

**Proof:** We set $A(t) := \int_t^\infty a(\tau)\, d\tau$ and, for $z := y - A$, we get

$$\frac{dz}{dt} = f(z + \widetilde{\alpha}) - f_S + \beta, \tag{42}$$

where $\widetilde{\alpha} := \alpha + A \in C(\mathbf{R}^+)$ and $\widetilde{\alpha}(t) \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$. Note that $z \in C^1(\mathbf{R}^+)$, in virtue of equation (42), and

$$\sup_{\mathbf{R}^+} |z(t)| \le \sup_{\mathbf{R}^+} |y(t)| + \|a\|_{L^1(\mathbf{R}^+)}.$$

Suppose that $z_1 := \liminf_{t\to\infty} z(t) < z_2 := \limsup_{t\to\infty} z(t)$. Then for any $z_0 \in (z_1, z_2)$, there exist two sequences $\{t_{1k}\}$ and $\{t_{2k}\}$ such that

$$z(t_{1k}) = z(t_{2k}) = z_0, \quad \frac{dz}{dt}(t_{1k}) \ge 0, \quad \frac{dz}{dt}(t_{2k}) \le 0.$$

Equation (42) applied for $t = t_{1k}$ and $t = t_{2k}$ as $k \to \infty$ implies that $f(z_0) - f_S = 0$. So by contradiction with the condition on $f$, $z_1 = z_2 = z_S := \lim_{t\to\infty} z(t)$.

By integrating equation (42) over the interval $(k-1, k)$ and passing to the limit as $k \to \infty$, we obtain: $f(z_S) - f_S = 0$. It remains to use the equality $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = \lim_{t\to\infty} z(t)$ to obtain the required result.

To prove the last part of the lemma, it suffices to apply the decomposition $a = \widetilde{a} + \widetilde{\beta}$, with $\widetilde{a} := \frac{a}{|a_1|+\widetilde{\beta}_1}|a_1|$, $\widetilde{\beta} := \frac{a}{|a_1|+\widetilde{\beta}_1}\widetilde{\beta}_1$ and $\widetilde{\beta}_1(t) := |\beta(t)| + \frac{1}{t+1}$; here $\widetilde{a} \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^+)$, $\widetilde{\beta} \in C(\mathbf{R}^+)$, and $\widetilde{\beta}(t) \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$ (since $|\widetilde{a}| \le |a_1|$ and $|\widetilde{\beta}| \le |\beta(t)| + \frac{1}{t+1}$). □

**Lemma 11** *Let condition (13) be satisfied. Then the following pointwise stabilization property holds for the specific volume $\eta$: there exists a function $\eta_S \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ satisfying (14) such that*

$$\eta(x,t) \to \eta_S(x) \text{ as } t \to \infty, \text{ for all } x \in \overline{\Omega}.$$

**Proof:** For any fixed $x \in \overline{\Omega}$, we rewrite equation (27) in the following form

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = f(y + \alpha) - p_S + p_1[\eta](\theta - \theta_\Gamma), \tag{43}$$

with $y := \nu \log \eta - \alpha$, $\alpha := -I^* v$, and $f(z) := p\left(\exp(\frac{z}{\nu}), \theta_\Gamma\right)$. Property (13) yields the corresponding property of $f$ in lemma 10, for any $f_S = p_S(\cdot)$.

By using the bounds $\underline{\eta} \le \eta \le \overline{\eta}$ and the stabilization property (34) we get

$$\sup_{t\ge 0} |y(t)| \le \nu \max\{|\log \underline{\eta}|, |\log \overline{\eta}|\} + M^{1/2}\|v\|_{L^{2,\infty}(Q)} \le K_1,$$

$$|\alpha(t)| \le M^{1/2}\|v(\cdot,t)\|_\Omega \to 0 \quad \text{as } t \to \infty.$$

We also have, by the Hölder inequality for numbers

$$|p_1[\eta](\theta - \theta_\Gamma)| \le \|p_1[\eta]\|_{L^\infty(Q)}\|\theta - \theta_\Gamma\|_{C(\overline{\Omega})} \le K_2\|\theta_x\|_\Omega^{1/2}\|\theta - \theta_\Gamma\|_\Omega^{1/2}$$

$$\le \|\theta_x\|_\Omega^2 + K_2^{4/3}\|\theta - \theta_\Gamma\|_\Omega^{2/3} =: a_1 + \beta_1.$$

The functions $a(\cdot,t) := p_1[\eta](\cdot,t)(\theta(\cdot,t)-\theta_\Gamma)$ and $a_1$, $\beta_1$ satisfy the conditions of the final part of lemme 10 by virtue of lemma 7 (together with the properties $\eta(\cdot,t), \theta(\cdot,t), \|\theta_x(\cdot,t)\|_\Omega \in C(\mathbf{R}^+)$).

So by condition (13) and lemma 10, there exists

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = y_S, \text{ with } f(y_S) = p_S,$$

i.e. $\eta(\cdot,t) \to \eta_S(\cdot) = \exp(\frac{y_S}{\nu})$ as $t\to\infty$ and $p(\eta_S(\cdot),\theta_\Gamma) = p_S(\cdot)$. The bounds $\underline{\eta} \le \eta \le \overline{\eta}$ and the measurability of $\eta(\cdot,t)$ on $\Omega$ imply the bounds $\underline{\eta} \le \eta_S \le \overline{\eta}$ and the measurability of $\eta_S$ on $\Omega$. □

Note that the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem immediately gives

$$\|\eta(\cdot,t) - \eta_S(\cdot)\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \to 0 \text{ as } t\to\infty, \text{ for any } q\in[1,\infty).$$

To prove the stabilization for $v$ in $L^q(\Omega)$, we turn to the auxiliary linear parabolic problem

$$\begin{cases} u_t = (\mu u_x - \phi)_x + g \text{ in } Q, \\ u|_{x=0} = 0, \ \ (\mu u_x - \phi)|_{x=M} = -p_\Gamma(t), \ \ u|_{t=0} = u^0(x). \end{cases} \tag{44}$$

Suppose that $\mu \in L^\infty(Q_T)$ and $\mu_t \in L^2(Q_T)$ for any $T>0$, with $0<\underline{\mu}\le\mu$ in $Q$. Suppose also that $\phi \in L^{2,\infty}(Q)$, $g\in L^{1,\infty}(Q)$, $p_\Gamma \in L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^+)$, and that $u^0\in H^1(\Omega)$, with $u^0(0)=0$.

Set $|||u|||_q := \|u\|_{L^{q,\infty}(Q)} + \|u\|_{L^{\infty,q}(Q)}$ to shorten the notation.

**Lemma 12** *Let $u\in H^1(Q_T)\cap L^\infty(Q_T)$ for any $T>0$ be a weak solution to problem (44) such that $|||u|||_2<\infty$. Then, for any $q\in[2,\infty)$, the following estimate together with stabilization property hold*

$$|||u|||_q \le C\left[\|u^0\|_{L^q(\Omega)} + q\left(\|\phi\|_{L^{2,\infty}(Q)} + \|g\|_{L^{1,\infty}(Q)} + \|p_\Gamma\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^+)} + |||u|||_2\right)\right],$$

$$\|u(\cdot,t)\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \to 0 \text{ as } t\to\infty,$$

*where $C$ depends only on $\underline{\mu}$ and $M$.*

More general assertions of such kind (together with applications to barotropic fluid equations) were given in [23], [25], [24], and the lemma follows from these assertions.

**Lemma 13** *Let $\|v^0\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \le N$, for some $q\in(4,\infty)$. For $v$, the following estimate together with stabilization property hold*

$$|||v|||_q \le qK^{(9)},$$

$$\|v(\cdot,t)\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \to 0 \text{ as } t\to\infty,$$

*where $K^{(9)}$ is independent of $q$.*

**Proof:** We consider $v$ as the solution to problem (44) with given $\mu := \nu\rho$, $\phi := p[\eta,\theta]$. By the bounds $\underline{\eta}\le\eta\le\overline{\eta}$ and lemma 7, the following estimates are valid

$$K_1^{-1} \le \mu,$$

$$\|\phi\|_{L^{2,\infty}(Q)} \le M^{1/2}\|p[\eta,\theta_\Gamma]\|_{L^\infty(Q)} + \|p_1[\eta]\|_{L^\infty(Q)}\ \|\theta-\theta_\Gamma\|_{L^{2,\infty}(Q)} \le K_2,$$

$$|||v|||_2 \le K_3,$$

and the result is proved, by appling the previous lemma 12. □

By collecting all of the results of the above lemmas the proof of theorem 1 is complete. □

### 3.2 Proof of proposition 1

Note that condition $N^{-1} \le \underline{p}_S$ has been used above in lemma 1, but not in lemma 3.

Let us turn to the proof of lemma 1, supposing that in contrast to (16), we have

$$\overline{V} := \sup_{t\ge 0} V(t) < \infty. \tag{45}$$

By using the formula $p_S\eta = \varepsilon\eta + (p_S - \varepsilon)\eta$ and the estimate

$$\left| \int_\Omega (p_S - \varepsilon)\eta \, dx \right| \le \left( |p_\Gamma| + \|g\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \varepsilon \right) \overline{V} \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0,$$

we see that lemma 1 remains valid and consequently lemma 3 is also valid. The quantities $K^{(1)} - K^{(3)}$ now depend on $\overline{V}$ as well.

Consider equation (43). By applying the operator $I_0$ to it and exploiting the bound $\underline{\eta} \le \eta$, we get

$$\nu \log \eta \ge \nu \log \eta^0 - I^*(v - v_0) + I_0(p[\eta, \theta_\Gamma] - p_S) - K_1 I_0 \max\{\theta_\Gamma - \theta, 0\}$$

as $p_1[\eta] \le K_1$. Let us introduce the set $E_t := \{x \in \overline{\Omega} \ : \ \theta(x,t) \le \theta_\Gamma\}$. Then

$$\| \max\{\theta_\Gamma - \theta(\cdot,t), 0\} \|_{C(\overline{\Omega})} \le \|\theta_x(\cdot,t)\|_{L^1(E_t)} \le \| \frac{\theta_\Gamma}{\theta} \theta_x(\cdot,t) \|_{L^1(E_t)}$$

$$\le \| \frac{\theta_\Gamma}{\theta} \theta_x(\cdot,t) \|_{L^1(\Omega)} \le \theta_\Gamma V^{1/2} \| \frac{\sqrt{\rho}}{\theta} \theta_x(\cdot,t) \|_\Omega.$$

By using estimates (22) and (45)

$$I_0 \max\{\theta_\Gamma - \theta(\cdot,t), 0\} \le \theta_\Gamma \overline{V}^{1/2} K^{(2)} t^{1/2}.$$

This estimate together with (28) imply

$$\nu \log \eta \ge -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} K_2 - \varepsilon t + I_0(p[\eta, \theta_\Gamma] - p_S), \quad \forall \varepsilon \in (0,1). \tag{46}$$

As $\underline{p}_S < m(\theta_\Gamma)$, for some $x_0$ and for $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and $\delta > 0$, both small enough, we have

$$p_S(x) \le m(\theta_\Gamma) - \varepsilon_0, \quad \text{for } x \in [x_0, x_0 + \delta] \subset \overline{\Omega}.$$

By choosing $\varepsilon := \varepsilon_0/2$, estimate (46) gives

$$\nu \log \eta \ge \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_0 t - \frac{2}{\varepsilon_0} K_2 \ \text{ on } [x_0, x_0 + \delta] \times \overline{\mathbf{R}}^+.$$

But then

$$V(t) \ge K_3 \delta \exp\left( \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2\nu} t \right) \to \infty \text{ as } t \to \infty,$$

with $K_3 := \exp\left( -\frac{2}{\nu\varepsilon_0} K_2 \right)$, which clearly contradicts (45). $\square$

### 3.3 Proof of proposition 2

Suppose that in contrast to (17)

$$\sup_{t\ge 0} \left| \int_\Omega v(x,t) \, dx \right| \le C_1 < \infty. \tag{47}$$

Set $\eta_0(t) := \eta(0,t)$, consider equation (27) for $x = 0$ and integrate it in $t$:

$$\nu \log \eta_0(t) = \nu \log \eta^0(0) + \int_\Omega (v^0(x) - v(x,t)) \, dx + \int_0^t p(\eta_0(\tau), \theta_\Gamma) \, d\tau \tag{48}$$

as $\theta|_{x=0} = \theta_\Gamma$ and $p_S(0) = 0$. It is straightforward that (see (9) and (47))

$$\left| \nu \log \eta^0(0) + \int_\Omega (v^0(x) - v(x,t))\, dx \right| \le K_1 + C_1. \tag{49}$$

Now set $b(t) := \int_0^t p(\eta_0(\tau), \theta_\Gamma)\, d\tau$. As $p(\eta, \theta_\Gamma) > m(\theta_\Gamma) = 0$, the function $b$ is increasing and positive on $\mathbf{R}^+$. Let us show the property

$$b(t) \to \infty \text{ as } t \to \infty. \tag{50}$$

Indeed if, in contrast to this property, $0 < b(t) \le C_2$ on $\mathbf{R}^+$, then according to (48) and (49)

$$0 < \eta_0(t) \le C_3 \ \text{ on } \overline{\mathbf{R}}^+.$$

This estimate implies $p(\eta_0(t), \theta_\Gamma) \ge \varepsilon_0 > 0$ on $\overline{\mathbf{R}}^+$ and so $b(t) \ge \varepsilon_0 t$ on $\mathbf{R}^+$. This contradiction proves (50).

Property (18) immediately follows from (48)-(50).

Let us justify the last part of proposition 2. By the conditions on $p_S$ and $p(\eta, \theta_\Gamma)$, we can consider

$$0 \le p_S \eta, \quad -P(\eta, \theta_\Gamma) = \int_\eta^\infty p(\zeta, \theta_\Gamma)\ d\zeta > 0.$$

So if we turn to the proof of lemma 1, we see that it remains valid but only the first summand in (21) should be dropped. In particular $\|v\|_{L^{2,\infty}(Q)} \le K^{(1)}$, consequently property (47) holds, and by the first part of the proof so does property (18).

### 3.4 Proof of theorem 2

Properties (19) and (20) imply the following estimates

$$-(P(\eta, \theta_\Gamma) - P(\check\eta, \theta_\Gamma)) = \int_\eta^{\check\eta} (p_0(\zeta) + p_1(\zeta)\, \theta_\Gamma)\, d\zeta \ge \overline{p}_S(\check\eta - \eta) \ \text{ for } 0 < \eta \le \check\eta,$$

$$P(\eta, \theta_\Gamma) - P(\hat\eta, \theta_\Gamma) = \int_{\hat\eta}^{\eta} (p_0(\zeta) + p_1(\zeta)\, \theta_\Gamma)\, d\zeta \le \underline{p}_S(\hat\eta - \eta) \ \text{ for } \hat\eta \le \eta.$$

Therefore

$$p_S\eta - P(\eta, \theta_\Gamma) \ge C := \min\{-P(\check\eta, \theta_\Gamma) + \overline{p}_S\check\eta,\ -\max\nolimits_{\check\eta \le \eta \le \hat\eta} P(\eta, \theta_\Gamma),\ -P(\hat\eta, \theta_\Gamma) + \underline{p}_S\hat\eta\} \ \text{ for all } \eta > 0.$$

This means that lemma 1 remains valid but only the first summand in (21) should be dropped.

In order to check the bounds in lemmas 3 and 5, we can use the properties, respectively

$$p(\eta, \theta) - p_S(x) \ge 0 \ \text{ for } 0 < \eta \le \check\eta,\ 0 < \theta, \text{ and } x \in \overline{\Omega},$$

$$p(\eta, \theta) - p_S(x) \le 0 \ \text{ for } \hat\eta \le \eta,\ 0 < \theta, \text{ and } x \in \overline{\Omega}$$

(see properties (19) and (20)). But by using equation (27), estimate (28), and the remark after lemma 2 (with $N_1 = 0$ and $\varepsilon_0 = 0$), the uniform bounds $\underline{\eta} \le \eta(x,t)$ and $\eta(x,t) \le \overline{\eta}$ in $Q$ hold.

After the bounds $\underline{\eta} \le \eta \le \overline{\eta}$, in fact, the rest of the proof of theorem 1 remains unchanged.

**Acknowledgments:**

This work was mainly accomplished while the second author was visiting the Department of Mathematics of the University Paris 7-Denis Diderot which he thanks for hospitality. He was also partially supported by RFBR projects 00-01-00207 and 01-01-00700.

# Appendix

This appendix is devoted to the proof of the existence of a regular weak solution to the problem (2),(7), and (8).

**Proposition 3** *Suppose that either conditions (5), (6), and* $N^{-1} \le \underline{p}_S$*, or (19) and (20) are valid. Suppose also that* $\kappa_{\eta\eta} \in C(\mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+)$ *and* $\eta^0, v^0, \theta^0 \in H^1(\Omega)$*,* $g \in L^2(\Omega)$ *with*

$$\|\eta^0\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|v^0\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \|\theta^0\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \le N, \quad \|g\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \le N,$$

$$N^{-1} \le \eta^0, \quad N^{-1} \le \theta^0, \quad v^0(0) = 0, \quad \theta^0(0) = \theta_\Gamma.$$

*Then for any* $T > 0$*, the problem (2),(7), and (8) admits a unique regular weak solution, and it satisfies the following estimates*

$$\|\eta_x\|_{L^{2,\infty}(Q_T)} + \|\eta_t\|_{L^{2,\infty}(Q_T)} + \|v\|_{H^{2,1}(Q_T)} + \|\theta\|_{H^{2,1}(Q_T)} \le K^{(10)}, \tag{51}$$

$$0 < \underline{\eta} \le \eta(x,t) \le \overline{\eta}, \quad 0 < \underline{\theta} := (K^{(11)})^{-1} \le \theta(x,t) \text{ in } \overline{Q}_T. \tag{52}$$

*Hereafter, the quantities* $K_i$ *and* $K^{(i)}$ *may depend also on* $T$*.*

**Proof:** We shall exploit a priori estimates given in theorems 1 and 2 and derive additional estimates in $Q_T$ in several steps. We shall finish by the proof of a local (in time) existence theorem.

1. We set $w := \nu(\log\eta)_x - v$ and rewrite the second equation (2) as follows

$$w_t = (p_{0\eta}[\eta] + p_{1\eta}[\eta]\theta)\,\eta_x + p_1[\eta]\theta_x - g.$$

By taking $L^2(\Omega)$-inner product with $w$, using the formula $\eta_x = \frac{1}{\nu}\eta(w+v)$ and the bounds $\underline{\eta} \le \eta \le \overline{\eta}$, we obtain the inequality

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|w\|^2_\Omega \le K_1\left[(1 + \|\theta\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)})(\|w\|^2_\Omega + \|v\|^2_\Omega) + \|\theta_x\|^2_\Omega + \|g\|^2_\Omega\right].$$

The estimates $\|\theta\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \le \theta_\Gamma + \sqrt{M}\|\theta_x\|_\Omega$, $\|\theta_x\|_Q \le K^{(6)}$, and $\|\nu(\log\eta^0)_x - v^0\|_\Omega \le K_2$, together with the Gronwall lemma imply the bound $\|w\|_{L^{2,\infty}(Q_T)} \le K_3$ and therefore

$$\|\eta_x\|_{L^{2,\infty}(Q_T)} \le K^{(12)}. \tag{53}$$

Consequently, the function $\rho$ is a Hölder continuous one on $\overline{Q}_T$.

2. The function $u := I^* v$ satisfies the nondivergent parabolic problem (see (26) and (7),(8))

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \nu\rho u_{xx} + p[\eta,\theta] - p_S \text{ in } Q, \\ u_x|_{x=0} = 0, \quad u|_{x=M} = 0, \quad u|_{t=0} = I^* v^0(x). \end{cases} \tag{54}$$

The standard parabolic $H^{2,1;q}(Q_T)-$estimates [16] together with the bounds $\underline{\eta} \le \eta \le \overline{\eta}$, $\|\theta\|_{L^6(Q_T)} \le c\|\theta\|_{V_2(Q_T)} \le K_1$ lead to the estimate

$$\|v_x\|_{L^6(Q_T)} = \|u_{xx}\|_{L^6(Q_T)} \le K_2\left(\|p[\eta,\theta] - p_S\|_{L^6(Q_T)} + \|v^0\|_{L^6(\Omega)}\right) \le K^{(13)}. \tag{55}$$

3. We also can consider the second equation (2) as a linear parabolic equation

$$v_t = (\nu\rho v_x - p[\eta,\theta])_x + g,$$

with corresponding boundary and initial conditions (see (7) and (8)). After the bounds $\underline{\eta} \le \eta \le \overline{\eta}$, (53), and (55), we have $\|\rho_x\|_{L^{2,\infty}(Q_T)} \le K_1$ and

$$\|p[\eta,\theta]_x\|_{Q_T} \le K_2\left[(1 + \|\theta\|_{L^{\infty,2}(Q_T)})\|\eta_x\|_{L^{2,\infty}(Q_T)} + \|\theta_x\|_{Q_T}\right] \le K_3,$$

$$\|p[\eta,\theta]_t\|_{Q_T} \le K_4\left[(1+\|\theta\|_{L^4(Q_T)})\|v_x\|_{L^4(Q_T)} + \|\theta_t\|_{Q_T}\right] \le K_5(1+\|\theta_t\|_{Q_T}).$$

So the standard parabolic $H^{2,1}(Q_T)$-estimates [16] (or [4]) imply

$$\|v\|_{H^{2,1}(Q_T)} \le K_6\left(\|p[\eta,\theta]\|_{H^1(Q_T)} + \|g\|_\Omega + |p_\Gamma| + \|v^0\|_{H^1(\Omega)}\right) \le K_7(1+\|\theta_t\|_{Q_T}). \tag{56}$$

4. Let us turn to estimates for $\theta$. We rewrite equation (24) as a linear parabolic equation

$$c_V\theta_t = (A\theta_x)_x + F, \tag{57}$$

with $A := \kappa[\eta,\theta]\rho$ and $F := (\nu\rho v_x - p_1[\eta]\theta)\, v_x$. By the bounds $\underline{\kappa} \le \kappa \le \overline{\kappa}$ and $\underline{\eta} \le \eta \le \overline{\eta}$, we get $K_1^{-1} \le A \le K_1$ and

$$\|F\|_{Q_T} \le K_2\left(\|v_x\|_{L^4(Q_T)} + \|\theta\|_{L^4(Q_T)}\right)\|v_x\|_{L^4(Q_T)} \le K_3, \tag{58}$$

where the estimates $\|\theta\|_{L^4(Q)} \le K_4$ and (55) are again taken into account. Now, the standard parabolic $L^\infty(Q_T)$-estimates [16] (or [3]) imply

$$\|\theta\|_{L^\infty(Q_T)} \le K_5\left(\|F\|_{Q_T} + \theta_\Gamma + \|\theta^0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}\right) \le K^{(14)}. \tag{59}$$

5. Let us derive a uniform lower bound for $\theta$. We divide equation (57) by $-\theta^2$ and transform it as follows

$$c_V(\theta^{-1})_t = \left(A(\theta^{-1})_x\right)_x - 2A\theta^{-3}\theta_x^2 - \left(\sqrt{\nu\rho}v_x\theta^{-1} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\eta}{\nu}}p_1[\eta]\right)^2 + \frac{\eta}{4\nu}(p_1[\eta])^2. \tag{60}$$

Set $d := \max\{\theta^{-1} - \theta_\Gamma^{-1}, 0\}$ and note that $d|_{x=0} = 0$ and $A(\theta^{-1})_x\big|_{x=M} = 0$. Now we multiply equation (60) by $qd^{q-1}$ with $q \ge 2$, integrate the result over $\Omega$, apply the bounds $\underline{\eta} \le \eta \le \overline{\eta}$ and the Hölder inequality and obtain

$$c_V\frac{d}{dt}\int_\Omega d^q\,dx \le q\int_\Omega \frac{\eta}{4\nu}(p_1[\eta])^2 d^{q-1}\,dx \le qK_1\left(\int_\Omega d^q\,dx\right)^{\frac{q-1}{q}}.$$

By solving this differential inequality (for example see lemma 1.4 in [24]), we find

$$\|d(\cdot,t)\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \le \|d^0\|_{L^q(\Omega)} + K_1 t,$$

with $d^0 := \max\{(\theta^0)^{-1} - \theta_\Gamma^{-1}, 0\} \le N$. By passing to the limit as $q \to \infty$, we get

$$\|d\|_{L^\infty(Q_T)} \le N + K_1T =: K_2.$$

This estimate together with $\theta^{-1} \le d + \theta_\Gamma^{-1}$ imply

$$\underline{\theta} := (K^{(11)})^{-1} \le \theta \ \text{ in } Q_T. \tag{61}$$

6. Let us prove $H^{2,1}(Q_T)$-bound for $\theta$. Introduce the function $\mathcal{K}(\eta,\theta) := \int_{\theta_\Gamma}^{\theta} \frac{\kappa(\eta,\widetilde{\theta})}{\eta}\,d\widetilde{\theta}$, and notice that $\mathcal{K}[\eta,\theta]|_{x=0} = 0$. By taking $L^2(Q_\tau)$-inner product of equation (57) with $\mathcal{K}[\eta,\theta]_t$ we obtain (compare with [14])

$$\int_{Q_\tau}\left(c_V\theta_t\mathcal{K}[\eta,\theta]_t + \pi\mathcal{K}[\eta,\theta]_{xt}\right)\,dx\,dt = \int_{Q_\tau} F\cdot\mathcal{K}[\eta,\theta]_t\,dx\,dt, \quad \text{for } 0 \le \tau \le T. \tag{62}$$

The following formulas hold

$$\mathcal{K}[\eta,\theta]_t = \mathcal{K}[\eta,\theta]_\eta\ v_x + A\theta_t, \quad \mathcal{K}[\eta,\theta]_x = \mathcal{K}_\eta[\eta,\theta]\ \eta_x + \pi,$$

$$\mathcal{K}[\eta,\theta]_{xt} = (\mathcal{K}_{\eta\eta}[\eta,\theta]\ v_x + \mathcal{K}_{\eta\theta}[\eta,\theta]\ \theta_t)\,\eta_x + \mathcal{K}_\eta[\eta,\theta]\ v_{xx} + \pi_t.$$

By using the bounds $\underline{\eta} \le \eta \le \overline{\eta}$ together with $\underline{\theta} \le \theta \le K^{(14)}$ (see (59) and (61)) we have

$$|\mathcal{K}_\eta[\eta,\theta]| + |\mathcal{K}_{\eta\eta}[\eta,\theta]| + |\mathcal{K}_{\eta\theta}[\eta,\theta]| \le K_0.$$

Now from equality (62) it follows that

$$K_1^{-1}\|\theta_t\|^2_{Q_\tau} + \frac{1}{2}\,\|\pi\|^2_\Omega|_0^\tau$$

$$\le K_2 \int_{Q_\tau} \left[|\theta_t|\,|v_x| + |\pi|\,((|v_x| + |\theta_t|)|\eta_x| + |v_{xx}|) + |F|(|v_x| + |\theta_t|)\right] dx\,dt$$

$$\le K_2 \left[\|\theta_t\|_{Q_T}\|v_x\|_{Q_T} + \|\pi\|_{L^{\infty,2}(Q_T)}\left(\|v_x\|_{Q_T} + \|\theta_t\|_{Q_T}\right)\|\eta_x\|_{L^{2,\infty}(Q_T)}\right.$$
$$\left. + \|\pi\|_{Q_T}\|v_{xx}\|_{Q_T} + \|F\|_{Q_T}\left(\|v_x\|_{Q_T} + \|\theta_t\|_{Q_T}\right)\right].$$

Let us use the estimates $\|v_x\|_{Q_T} \le K^{(6)}$, $\|\pi\|_{Q_T} \le K_3$ as well as (53),(56), and (58), for $\eta_x, v$, and $F$. By applying also the estimate $\|\pi\|_{L^{\infty,2}(Q_T)} \le \sqrt{2}\|\pi\|^{1/2}_{Q_T}\|\pi_x\|^{1/2}_{Q_T} \le \sqrt{2K_3}\|\pi_x\|^{1/2}_{Q_T}$, we get

$$\|\theta_t\|^2_{Q_T} + \|\pi\|^2_{L^{2,\infty}(Q_T)} \le K_4\left(1 + \|\pi_x\|_{Q_T} + \|\theta_t\|_{Q_T}\right).$$

By combining this estimate and the trivial one $\|\pi_x\|_{Q_T} \le c_V\|\theta_t\|_{Q_T} + \|F\|_{Q_T}$ (see (57)), we obtain

$$\|\theta_t\|_{Q_T} + \|\pi\|_{V_2(Q_T)} \le K_5.$$

In particular $\|\theta_x\|_{L^{2,\infty}(Q_T)} \le K_6$ and $\|\pi\|_{L^{\infty,2}(Q_T)} \le \sqrt{M}K_5$.

Therefore by using the formula

$$\theta_{xx} = (A^{-1}\pi)_x = (\widetilde{\kappa}_\eta[\eta,\theta]\eta_x + \widetilde{\kappa}_\theta[\eta,\theta]\theta_x)\,\pi + \widetilde{\kappa}[\eta,\theta]\pi_x,$$

with $\widetilde{\kappa}(\eta,\theta) := \frac{\eta}{\kappa(\eta,\theta)}$, we also get

$$\|\theta_{xx}\|_{Q_T} \le K_7\left[\left(\|\eta_x\|_{L^{2,\infty}(Q_T)} + \|\theta_x\|_{L^{2,\infty}(Q_T)}\right)\|\pi\|_{L^{\infty,2}(Q_T)} + \|\pi_x\|_{Q_T}\right] \le K_8.$$

So the estimate $\|\theta\|_{H^{2,1}(Q_T)} \le K^{(15)}$ is proved. As a consequence $\|v\|_{H^{2,1}(Q_T)} \le K^{(16)}$ (see (56)). This completes the proof of all the a priori estimates (51) and (52).

It is not difficult to verify the uniqueness of a regular weak solution similarly to [6].

7. Now we briefly describe the proof of a local existence theorem. Let us fix the data satisfying the hypotheses and the additional conditions

$$p_0\,{}_{\eta\eta}, p_1\,{}_{\eta\eta} \in C(\mathbf{R}^+), \quad \eta^0_{xx}, g_x \in L^2(\Omega). \tag{63}$$

We define the Banach space $\mathbf{B}_\tau, 0 < \tau \le T$, of triples $z = (\eta, v, \theta)$ equipped with the norm $\|z\|_{B_\tau} = \|z\|_{Q_\tau} + \|z_x\|_{L^4(Q_\tau)} + \|\eta_t\|_{Q_\tau}$ and the bounded closed convex set

$$S_\tau = \left\{z \in \mathbf{B}_\tau \middle|\, \|z_x\|_{L^4(Q_\tau)} + \|\eta_t\|_{Q_\tau} \le N_1,\ (2N)^{-1} \le \eta \le 2c_0N,\ (2N)^{-1} \le \theta \le 2c_0N,\ v|_{x=0} = 0\right\},$$

where $N_1 > 0$ and $c_0$ is such that $\eta^0 \le c_0N$, $\theta^0 \le c_0N$.

We introduce also the nonlinear operator $A : S_\tau \to \mathbf{B}_\tau$ such that $A(\widetilde{\eta}, \widetilde{v}, \widetilde{\theta}) = (\eta, v, \theta)$, where $\theta$ and $v$ satisfy the linear parabolic equations

$$c_V\theta_t = (\kappa[\widetilde{\eta}, \widetilde{\theta}]\widetilde{\rho}\theta_x)_x + (\nu\widetilde{\rho}\widetilde{v}_x - p_1[\widetilde{\eta}]\widetilde{\theta})\widetilde{v}_x \ \text{ in } Q_\tau, \tag{64}$$

$$v_t = (\nu\widetilde{\rho}v_x - p[\widetilde{\eta}, \theta])_x + g \ \text{ in } Q_\tau, \tag{65}$$

with $\widetilde{\rho} = \widetilde{\eta}^{-1}$, and $\eta > 0$ satisfies the ordinary differential equation

$$(\nu\log\eta)_t = p[\eta,\theta] - p_S - I^*v_t \ \text{ in } Q_\tau, \tag{66}$$

together with the boundary conditions

$$\theta|_{x=0} = \theta_\Gamma,\ (\kappa[\widetilde{\eta},\widetilde{\theta}]\widetilde{\rho}\theta_x)|_{x=M} = 0, \tag{67}$$

$$v|_{x=0} = 0,\ (\nu\widetilde{\rho}v_x - p[\widetilde{\eta},\theta])|_{x=M} = -p_\Gamma, \tag{68}$$

and the initial conditions (8).

Problems (64) and (67); (65) and (68); and (66), with the initial conditions (8), can be solved sequentially. By the linear parabolic equation theory there exist unique solutions $\theta, v \in H^{2,1}(Q_\tau)$ to the first and second problems, and they satisfy the estimates

$$\|\theta\|_{H^{2,1}(Q_\tau)} \le K_1 \exp\Big(K_2\|(\kappa[\widetilde{\eta},\widetilde{\theta}]\widetilde{\rho})_x\|^4_{L^4(Q_\tau)}\Big)\Big(1 + \|\widetilde{v}_x\|^2_{L^4(Q_\tau)}\Big) \le K_3, \tag{69}$$

$$\|v\|_{H^{2,1}(Q_\tau)} \le K_4 \exp\Big(K_5(1 + \|\widetilde{\rho}_x\|^4_{L^4(Q_\tau)})\Big)\big(1 + \|p[\widetilde{\eta},\theta]\|_{H^1(Q_\tau)}\big) \le K_6, \tag{70}$$

compare with above items 3 and 6. Hereafter the quantities $K_i$ (excluding $K_1, K_2$ and $K_4, K_5$) depend also on $N_1$.

The following inequalities hold

$$\|\varphi\|_{L^4(Q_\tau)} \le c_1(M,T)\tau^{1/12}\|\varphi\|_{V_2(Q_\tau)} \quad \forall\varphi \in V_2(Q_\tau), \tag{71}$$

$$\|\varphi - \varphi|_{t=0}\|_{C(\overline{Q}_\tau)} \le c_2(M)\tau^{1/4}\|\varphi\|_{H^{2,1}(Q_\tau)} \quad \forall\varphi \in H^{2,1}(Q_\tau) \tag{72}$$

(which follow from the Hölder inequality, the embedding $V_2(Q_T) \subset L^6(Q_T)$, and the inequality $\|\phi\|_{C(\overline{\Omega})} \le c_3(M)\|\phi\|^{1/2}_\Omega\|\phi\|^{1/2}_{H^1(\Omega)}$). Thus, for $0 < \tau \le \tau_1$ small enough,

$$\|\theta_x\|_{L^4(Q_\tau)} + \|v_x\|_{L^4(Q_\tau)} \le N_1/2,\ \ (2N)^{-1} \le \theta \le 2c_0N \ \text{ in } \overline{Q}_\tau. \tag{73}$$

We rewrite the problem for $\eta$ as the integral equation

$$\nu\log\eta = \nu\log\eta^0 + I_0(p[\eta,\theta] - p_S) - I^*(v - v^0). \tag{74}$$

For $0 < \tau \le \tau_2$ small enough, this equation has a unique solution $\eta \in C(\overline{Q}_\tau)$, $\eta > 0$, and it satisfies the bounds

$$(2N)^{-1} \le \eta \le 2c_0N \ \text{ in } \overline{Q}_\tau. \tag{75}$$

Moreover, from (66) and (74) it follows that $\eta_t \in V_2(Q_\tau)$, $\eta \in H^{2,1}(Q_\tau)$, and

$$\|\eta_t\|_{V_2(Q_\tau)} \le K_7,\ \|\eta_x\|_{L^{2,\infty}(Q_\tau)} \le K_8,\ \|\eta_{xx}\|_{L^{2,\infty}(Q_\tau)} \le K_9 \tag{76}$$

(for the last estimate we use conditions (63)). So by applying estimate (71), for $0 < \tau \le \tau_3$ small enough,

$$\|\eta_x\|_{L^4(Q_\tau)} + \|\eta_t\|_{Q_\tau} \le N_1/2. \tag{77}$$

In addition, the following estimate holds

$$\sup_{0<\gamma<\tau}\gamma^{-1/2}\|\Delta_\gamma\eta_t\|_{Q_{\tau-\gamma}} \le K_{10} \tag{78}$$

with $\Delta_\gamma\varphi(x,t) = \varphi(x,t+\gamma) - \varphi(x,t)$. This estimate is valid in virtue of the equation

$$\nu(\log\eta)_t = p[\eta,\theta] - p[\widetilde{\eta},\theta] + \nu\widetilde{\rho}v_x$$

(where equation (65) is used) and the known estimate $\sup_{0<\gamma<\tau}\gamma^{-1/2}\|\Delta_\gamma\varphi_x\|_{Q_{\tau-\gamma}} \le c_4(M,T)\|\varphi\|_{H^{2,1}(Q_\tau)}$ for all $\varphi \in H^{2,1}(Q_\tau)$.

Thus, for $\overline{\tau} = \min\{\tau_1,\tau_3\}$, the operator $A$ is well defined and $A(S_{\overline{\tau}}) \subset S_{\overline{\tau}}$, see (73), (75), and (77). Moreover estimates (69), (70), (76), and (78) imply that the set $A(S_{\overline{\tau}})$ is precompact in $\mathbf{B}_{\overline{\tau}}$.

To prove the continuity of $A$, take a sequence $\{\widetilde{z}_n\} \subset S_{\overline{\tau}}$, $\|\widetilde{z}_n - \widetilde{z}\|_{\mathbf{B}_{\overline{\tau}}} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ and set $z_n = (\eta_n, v_n, \theta_n) := A\widetilde{z}_n$ and $z = (\eta, v, \theta) := A\widetilde{z}$. By considering problems for $\theta - \theta_n$ and $v - v_n$, applying the standard parabolic energy estimate and estimates (69), (70), we obtain

$$\|\theta - \theta_n\|_{V_2(Q_{\overline{\tau}})} \le K_{11}\|\widetilde{z} - \widetilde{z}_n\|_{\mathbf{B}_{\overline{\tau}}} \to 0,$$

$$\|v - v_n\|_{V_2(Q_{\overline{\tau}})} \le K_{12}(\|\widetilde{z} - \widetilde{z}_n\|_{\mathbf{B}_{\overline{\tau}}} + \|\theta - \theta_n\|_{Q_{\overline{\tau}}}) \to 0.$$

Considering the difference of equation (74) for $\eta$ and the similar one for $\eta_n$, we also obtain

$$\|\eta - \eta_n\|_{L^{2,\infty}(Q_{\overline{\tau}})} \le K_{13}(\|\theta - \theta_n\|_{Q_{\overline{\tau}}} + \|v - v_n\|_{L^{2,\infty}(Q_{\overline{\tau}})}) \to 0.$$

As the set $A(S_{\overline{\tau}})$ is precompact, the last three limiting properties imply that $\|z - z_n\|_{\mathbf{B}_{\overline{\tau}}} \to 0$.

Combining all the properties of $S_{\overline{\tau}}$ and $A$, by the classical Schauder theorem, we establish that $A$ has a fixed point in $S_{\overline{\tau}}$. Evidently this fixed point serves as a regular weak solution to the original problem (2), (7), and (8) in $Q_{\overline{\tau}}$.

Condition (63) can be removed by the standard argument (by smoothing $p_0, p_1$ and $\eta^0, g$ and passing to the limit). $\square$

**Remark:**

In the case $\kappa = \kappa(\eta)$, the existence of $\kappa_{\eta\eta} \in C(\mathbf{R}^+)$ is not required and the proof can be simplified in an essential manner. Namely, the standard parabolic $H^{2,1}(Q_T)$-estimates imply $\|\theta\|_{H^{2,1}(Q_T)} \le K^{(15)}$ in step 3, and estimate (59) in step 4 together with the main part of step 6 can be omitted.

# References


[1] A.A. Amosov, A.A.Zlotnik, *Global generalized solutions of the equations of the one-dimensional motion of a viscous heat-conducting gas, Sov. Math. Doklady* **38** 1-5 (1989)

[2] A.A. Amosov, A.A. Zlotnik, *Solvability "in the large" of a system of equations of the one-dimensional motion of an inhomogeneous viscous heat-conducting gas, Math. Notes* **52** 753-763 (1992)

[3] A.A. Amosov, A.A. Zlotnik, *Remarks on properties of generalized solutions from $V_2(Q)$ for one-dimensional parabolic equations, MPEI Bulletin* **3** No.6 15-29 (1996) (In Russian)

[4] A.A. Amosov, A.A. Zlotnik, *Properties of generalized solutions of one-dimensional linear parabolic problems with nonsmooth data, Differential Equations* **33** 83-96 (1997)

[5] G. Andrews, J.M. Ball, *Asymptotic behaviour and changes of phase in one-dimensional nonlinear viscoelasticity, J. Diff. Eqns.* **44** 306-341 (1982)

[6] S.N. Antontsev, A.V. Kazhikhov, V.N. Monakhov, *Boundary value problems in mechanics of non-homogeneous fluids, North Holland* (1990)

[7] B. Ducomet, *Global existence for a simplified model of nuclear fluid in one dimension, J. Math. Fluid Mech.* **2** 1-15 (2000)

[8] B. Ducomet, *Global existence for a simplified model of nuclear fluid in one dimension: the $T > 0$ case, Applications of Mathematics* **47** 45-75 (2002)

[9] B. Ducomet, *Simplified models of quantum fluids in nuclear physics, Mathematica Bohemica* **126** 323-336 (2001)

[10] B. Ducomet, A.A. Zlotnik, *Remark on the stabilization of a viscous barotropic medium with a non-monotone equation of state, Applied Math. Letters* **14** 921-926 (2001)

[11] B. Ducomet, A.A. Zlotnik, *On the stabilization of a viscous barotropic self-gravitating medium with a non-monotone equation of state, Math. Models and Meth. in Appl. Sci.* **12** 143-153 (2002)

[12] L. Hsiao, T. Luo, *Large-time behaviour of solutions to the equations of one-dimensional nonlinear thermoviscoelasticity, Quart. Appl. Math.* **56** 201-219 (1998)

[13] S. Jiang, *On the asymptotic behavior of the motion of a viscous heat-conducting one-dimensional real gas, Math. Z.* **216** 317-336 (1994)

[14] B. Kawohl, *Global existence of large solution to a initial boundary value problems for a viscous heat-conducting one-dimensional real gas, J. Diff. Eqns.* **58** 76-103 (1985)

[15] K. Kuttler, *Initial boundary value problems for the displacement in an isothermal, viscous gas, Nonlinear Anal. TMA* **15** 601-623 (1990)

[16] O.A. Ladyzhenskaya, V.A. Solonnikov, N.N. Ural'ceva, *Linear and quasilinear equations of parabolic type, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I.* (1968)

[17] T. Nagasawa, *On the outer pressure problem of the one-dimensional polytropic ideal gas, Japan J. Appl. Math.* **15** 53-85 (1988)

[18] R. Pego, *Phase transitions in one-dimensional nonlinear viscoelasticity: admissibility and stability, Arch. Rat. Mech. and Anal.* **97** 353-394 (1987)

[19] Y. Qin, *Global existence and asymptotic behaviour for a viscous heat-conducting one-dimensional real gas with fixed and thermally insulated endpoints, Nonlinear Anal. TMA* **44** 413-441 (2001)

[20] R. Racke, S. Zheng, *Global existence and asymptotic behaviour in nonlinear thermoviscoelasticity, J. Diff. Eqns.* **134** 46-67 (1997)

[21] W. Shen, S. Zheng, P. Zhu, *Global existence and asymptotic behaviour of weak solutions to nonlinear thermoviscoelastic systems with clamped boundary conditions, Quart. Appl. Math.* **57** 93-116 (1999)

[22] I. Straškraba, A. Zlotnik, *On a decay rate for 1d viscous compressible barotropic fluid equations, To appear in: J. Evol. Equat.* **1** (2001)

[23] A.A. Zlotnik, *On equations for one-dimensional motion of a viscous barotropic gas in the presence of a body force, Siberian Math. J.* **33** 798-815 (1992)

[24] A.A. Zlotnik, *Uniform estimates and the stabilization of symmetric solutions to one system of quasilinear equations, Differential Equations* **36** 701-716 (2000)

[25] A.A. Zlotnik, N.Z. Bao, *Properties and asymptotic behaviour of solutions of some problems of one-dimensional motion of a viscous barotropic gas, Math. Notes* **55** 471-482 (1994)